## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

Plaintiff §  V. \$ CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:16-CV-7	
v.   § CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:16-CV-7	
v. 8 CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:16-CV-7	
5 61,121161161,116 6,7	787
§	
CENTRAL MUTUAL INSURANCE §	
COMPANY & AARFON CAMP §	
Defendants §	

#### **NOTICE OF REMOVAL**

Defendant, Central Mutual Insurance Company (hereinafter referred to as "Central"), hereby files this Notice of the Removal of this case from the 98<sup>th</sup> Judicial District Court of Travis County, Texas, to the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas, Austin Division, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441 and 1446(b) and would show the Court as follows:

1.

Central has been sued in Cause No. D-1-GN-16-002271, entitled "Jeremy and Chelsey Ellison v. Central Mutual Insurance Company & Aaron Camp", currently pending in the 98<sup>th</sup> Judicial District Court of Travis County, Texas. That lawsuit was originally filed on May 24, 2016 in the 98<sup>th</sup> Judicial District Court of Travis County, Texas.

2.

Central received notice of Plaintiffs' lawsuit when Central was served with Plaintiffs' lawsuit on June 20, 2016. The other Defendant to this lawsuit, Aaron Camp, was served on June 3, 2016. Central is filing this notice within thirty days from when it was served with Plaintiffs' lawsuit, and within thirty days from when the first defendant was served in this lawsuit, so that this removal is more than timely under 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).

3.

At the date of commencement of this action and at all pertinent times, Plaintiffs are citizens of the State of Texas, being individuals residing in and domiciled in the State of Texas.

4.

At the date of commencement of this action and at all pertinent times, the Defendants to this lawsuit are citizens of the following:

- (A) Central is a citizen of the State of Ohio, being an insurance company incorporated in the State of Ohio, and having its principal place of business in the State of Ohio;
- (B) Aaron Camp (hereinafter referred to as "Camp") is an individual who is a citizen of the State of Texas, being an individual residing in and domiciled in the State of Texas.

5.

The citizenship of Camp is not considered for jurisdictional purposes, as his joinder in this lawsuit is fraudulent, since Plaintiffs have no viable cause of action against Camp, nor have Plaintiffs sufficiently pled a viable claim against him for purposes of keeping this lawsuit from being removed to federal court. There is no sufficient factual and/or legal basis alleged in Plaintiffs' lawsuit that assert viable claims against Camp so as to keep this case from being removed to federal court. This is especially the case under the new pleading standard required by the Fifth Circuit in its recent opinion in *Energy Ventures Management, L.L.C. v. United Energy Group, Ltd.*, 818 F.3d 193, 200-01 (5th Cir. 2016). As no viable claims have been pled by Plaintiffs against Camp, the citizenship of Camp would not be considered for jurisdictional purposes. Complete diversity thus exists between Plaintiffs and the only remaining Defendant, Central, such that diversity of citizenship

exists in this matter.

6.

This Court has original jurisdiction of this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1332, and it may be removed to this Court by Central, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441, it being a civil action wherein the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs, as between citizens of different states.

7.

Copies of all the pleadings which have been filed in this action to date and which are attached as Exhibit "A" include the following:

- a. Plaintiffs' Original Petition;
- b. Citation served on Camp; and
- c. Citation served on Central.

To the best of Central's knowledge, no other pleading, process or order has been filed or served in the state court lawsuit referred to above.

Wherefore, Defendant, Central Mutual Insurance Company, prays that this action be removed to this Court from the 98<sup>th</sup> Judicial District Court of Travis County, Texas and for further proceedings as may be necessary.

Respectfully submitted,

/S/Russell J. Bowman

Russell J. Bowman Texas State Bar No. 02751550 800 West Airport Freeway, Suite 860 Irving, Texas 75062 (214) 922-0220 (214) 922-0225 (FAX)

E-Mail: russelljbowman@sbcglobal.net ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT CENTRAL MUTUAL INS. CO.

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on June 26, 2016, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the clerk of court for the U. S. District Court, Western District of Texas, using the electronic case filing system of the court.

I hereby certify that I have served a true and correct copy of the foregoing document as indicated below, on this the 26th day of June, 2016:

Mr. Adam Q. Voyles LUBEL VOYLES LLP 5020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800 Houston, Texas 77006 Attorney for Plaintiffs VIA E-MAIL - adam@lubelvoules.com

/S/Russell J. Bowman
Russell J. Bowman

### **EXHIBIT A**

adjusting policies in Texas. Central Mutual may be served through its registered agent for service of process in the State of Texas, Steven Mansfield, 7301 State Hwy. 161, Suite 320, Irving, Texas 75039-2820.

3. Defendant, Aaron Camp ("Camp") is an individual and resident domiciled in the State of Texas engaging in the business of insurance adjusting polices and claims in Texas. Aaron Camp may be served at his place of work Gentry & Associates Claims Services, Inc. 10800 Tollesboro CV, Austin, Texas 78739-2202.

### III. VENUE & JURISDICTION

- 4. Venue is proper in Travis County, Texas pursuant to Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code section 15.002(a)(1), because it is the county in which all or a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred. Specifically, many of the acts and omissions of Defendants occurred in Travis County, Texas.
- 5. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the controversy because the amount in controversy exceeds the minimum jurisdictional limits of the Court.
- 6. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant Camp because he is a resident of Texas. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant Central Mutual because it does business in Texas and the acts and omissions giving rise to the claims and causes of action asserted herein occurred in Travis County, Texas.

#### IV. <u>FACTS</u>

- 7. Plaintiff owns a residence located at 200 W. Elm Street, Granger, Texas 76530 (the "Property").
  - 8. Plaintiff was assigned an insurance policy by Central Mutual for the Property.

- 9. Central Mutual sold Plaintiff the Policy, insuring the Property that is the subject of this lawsuit. Plaintiff suffered significant losses with respect to the Property due to weather events, including strong winds and hail.
- 10: Plaintiff submitted a claim to Central Mutual for damage to the Property as a result of the weather event. The damage to Plaintiff's Property is extensive.
- Defendants negligently concluded there was no or limited damage to the Property due to weather events.
- Defendants refused Plaintiff's claim for repairs even though the Policy provided coverage for losses such as those suffered by Plaintiff as a result of the weather events. Furthermore, Central Mutual has refused to provide full coverage for the damages sustained by the Plaintiff. Additionally, Central Mutual continues to deny and delay payment for damages to the Property as a result of hail, wind and rain damage. As such, Plaintiff has not been paid in full for the damages to the Property.
- 13. Central Mutual failed to perform its contractual duties to adequately compensate Plaintiff under the terms of the Policy. Specifically, Central Mutual refuses to pay the full proceeds of the Policy, although due demand was made for proceeds to be paid in an amount sufficient to cover the damaged Property and all conditions precedent to recovery upon the Policy had been carried out and accomplished by Plaintiff. Central Mutual's conduct constitutes a breach of the insurance contract.
- 14. Central Mutual and Camp misrepresented to Plaintiff that the damage to the Property was not covered under the Policy, even though the damage was caused by a covered occurrence.

- 15. Central Mutual failed to make an attempt to settle Plaintiff's claims in a fair nanner, although they were aware of their liability to Plaintiff under the Policy.
- 16. Defendants failed to adequately explain to Plaintiff the reasons for their offer of an inadequate settlement. Specifically, Central Mutual failed to offer Plaintiff adequate compensation, without any explanation why full payment was not being made.
- 17. Defendants failed to affirm or deny coverage of Plaintiff's claims within a reasonable time. Specifically, Plaintiff did not receive timely indication of acceptance or rejection, regarding the full and entire claim, in writing from Central Mutual. Central Mutual refused to fully compensate Plaintiff, under the terms of the Policy, even though Defendants failed to conduct a reasonable investigation. Specifically, Defendants performed an outcome-oriented investigation of Plaintiff's claim, which resulted in a biased, unfair and inequitable evaluation of Plaintiff's losses on the Property. Defendants conduct is a violation of the Texas Insurance Code, Unfair Settlement Practices. Tex. Ins. Code §541.060, et. seq.
- 18. From and after the time Plaintiff's claim was presented to Central Mutual, the liability of Central Mutual to pay the full claims in accordance with the terms of the Policy was reasonably clear. However, Central Mutual has refused to pay Plaintiff in full, despite there being no basis whatsoever on which a reasonable insurance company would have relied on to deny full payment. Defendant's conduct constitutes a breach of good faith and fair dealing. Defendant's conduct is a violation of the Texas Insurance Code, Unfair Settlement Practices. Tex. Ins. Code §541.060, et. seq.
- 19. Defendants knowingly or recklessly made false representations, as described above, as material facts and/or knowingly concealed all or part of material information from Plaintiff.

- 20. As a result of the Defendants wrongful acts and omissions, Plaintiff was forced to retain the attorneys who are representing Plaintiff in this cause of action.
- 21. Central Mutual breached the insurance contract thus relieving Plaintiff of any corresponding obligation on the contract. Central Mutual's refusal to accept liability without justification was unreasonable and unjust. Defendants conduct is a violation of the Texas Insurance Code, Unfair Settlement Practices. Tex. Ins. Code §541.060, et. al.

### V. CAUSES OF ACTION

#### A. BREACH OF CONTRACT (Against CENTRAL MUTUAL)

- 22. Paragraphs above are incorporated by reference.
- 23. Central Mutual's conduct constitutes a breach of the insurance contract entered into with Plaintiff.
- 24. Central Mutual and Plaintiff entered into an insurance contract in which Central Mutual agreed to pay for direct physical loss of or damage to covered Property at the premises described in the declarations caused by or resulting from any covered cause of loss. Plaintiff suffered such a loss as a result of hail, wind and rain, which were covered losses under the terms of the insurance contract. Central Mutual breached the contract by refusing to pay Plaintiff for the damage to the Property as a result of hail, wind and/or rain.
- 25. Central Mutual failed to conduct a reasonable investigation of the damage to Plaintiff's Property as a result of hail, wind and/or rain. Central Mutual performed an outcome-oriented investigation of Plaintiff's claim, which resulted in a biased, unfair and inequitable evaluation of Plaintiff's claim.
- 26. Central Mutual failed to pay Plaintiff amongst other things for direct physical loss to the exterior of the Property as a whole.

٠,

- 27. Central Mutual failed to pay Plaintiff amongst other things for direct physical loss to the roof of the Property.
- 28. Central Mutual's failure and refusal, as described above, to pay the adequate compensation as it is obligated to do so under the terms of the Policy in question constitutes a material breach of the insurance contract with Plaintiff. Plaintiff has suffered damages in the form of actual damages, consequential damages and reasonable and necessary attorney's fees as a result of a direct physical loss to covered Property as a result of a covered loss.
- 29. Central Mutual's breach proximately caused Plaintiff's injuries and damages. All conditions precedent required under the Policy has been performed, excused, waived or otherwise satisfied by Plaintiff.

#### B. PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS STATUTE (Against CENTRAL MUTUAL)

- 30. Paragraphs above are incorporated by reference.
- 31. The claims at issue are claims under an insurance policy with Defendant Central Mutual, of which Plaintiff gave Defendant Central Mutual proper notice. Defendant Central Mutual is liable for the claims.
- 32. Defendant Central Mutual violated the prompt payment of claims provisions of the Texas Insurance Code, namely, section 542.021 et. seq. by:
  - a. Failing to acknowledge or investigate the claim or to request from Plaintiff all items, statements and forms that Defendant Central Mutual reasonably believed would be required within the time constraints provided by Tex. Ins. Code § 542.055;
  - b. Failing to notify Plaintiff in writing of its acceptance or rejecting of the claims within the applicable time constraints provided by Tex. Ins. Code § 542.056; and/or
  - c. Delaying payment of the claims following Defendant Central Mutual's receipt of all items, statements, and forms reasonably requested and required, longer than the amount of time provided by Tex. Ins. Code § 542.058.

33. Defendant Central Mutual is therefore liable to Plaintiff for damages. In addition to Plaintiff's claim for damages, Plaintiff is further entitled to 18% interest and attorneys' fees as set forth in section 542.060 of Texas Insurance Code.

### C. UNFAIR SETTLEMENT PRACTICES/BAD FAI|TH (against all Defendants)

34. Paragraphs above are incorporated by reference.

#### **Defendant Central Mutual**

- 35. As explained further herein, Defendant violated Tex. Ins. Code § 541.060(a) by engaging in unfair settlement practices.
  - 36. Defendant Central Mutual engaged in unfair settlement practices by:
    - a. Misrepresenting to Plaintiff a material fact or policy provision relating to coverage at issue;
    - b. Failing to attempt in good faith to effectuate a prompt, fair, and equitable settlement of claims with respect to which the insurer's liability had become reasonably clear;
    - c. Failing to promptly provide to Plaintiff a reasonable explanation of the basis in the policy, in relation to the facts or applicable law, for the insurer's denial of a claim or offer of a compromise settlement of a claims;
    - d. Failing within a reasonable time to affirm or deny coverage of a claim to Plaintiff or submit a reservation of rights to Plaintiff; and
    - e. Refusing to pay the claim without conducting a reasonable investigation with respect to a claim.
- 37. Each of the aforementioned unfair settlement practices was committed knowingly by Defendant Central Mutual and was a producing cause of Plaintiff's damages. Defendant Central Mutual are therefore liable to Plaintiff for engaging in such unfair settlement practices and causing Plaintiff damages.

#### **Defendant Camp**

- 38. Defendant Camp was a contractor and/or adjuster assigned by Defendant Central Mutual to assist with adjusting the claims. Defendant Camp was charged with investigating the claims and communicating with the insured about the Policy terms. Insurance adjusters are "persons engaged in the business of insurance" under Tex. Ins. Code 541.001, et. seq., and are individually liable for their individual violations of the Texas Insurance Code. See Liberty Mut. Ins. Co. v. Garrison Contractors, Inc., 966 S.W. 2d 482, 486 (Tex. 1998).
- 39. Defendant Camp was tasked with the responsibility of conducting a thorough and reasonable investigation of Plaintiff's claims, including discovering covered damages and fully quantifying covered damages to Plaintiff's insured Property
- 40. Defendant Camp conducted a substandard, results-oriented inspection of the Property. As such, Defendant Camp failed to discover covered damages and/or fully quantify covered damages to Plaintiff's Property, as the Policy and Texas law require.
- 41. Further, Defendant Camp misrepresented material facts to Plaintiff, that is, the existence and true value of Plaintiff's covered losses. Additionally, Defendant Camp failed to provided Plaintiff a reasonable explanation as to why Defendant Central Mutual was not compensating Plaintiff for the covered losses, or the true value thereof.
  - 42. Thus, Defendant Camp engaged in unfair settlement practices by:
    - a. Misrepresenting to Plaintiff a material fact or policy provision relating to coverage at issue;
    - b. Failing to attempt in good faith to effectuate a prompt, fair, and equitable settlement of the claims with respect to which the insurer's liability had become reasonably clear;
    - c. Failing to promptly provide to Plaintiff a reasonable explanation of the basis in the policy, in relation to the facts or applicable law, for Defendant Central Mutual's denial of a claim or offer of a compromise settlement of

a claim; and/or

- d. Failing to conduct a reasonable investigation of Plaintiff's claims
- 43. Each of the aforementioned unfair settlement practices was committed knowingly by Defendant Camp and was a producing cause of Plaintiff's damages. Defendant Camp is therefore liable to Plaintiff for engaging in such unfair settlement practices and causing Plaintiff damages.

#### D. UNFAIR AND DECEPTIVE TRADE PRACTICES ACT (Against Camp)

- 44. Camp's conduct of deceptively denying the lamage to the Property relating to the a water leak and weather events of hail, wind and/or rain constitute unfair and deceptive trade practices.
- 45. Camp is individually liable for his unfair and deceptive acts by performing an outcome-oriented investigation of Plaintiff's claim, which resulted in a biased, unfair and inequitable evaluation of Plaintiff's claim of denying Plaintiff's insurance claim by concluding that the damage to Plaintiff's Property was not a result of wind, hail and/or rain.
- 46. Camp is liable for his unfair and deceptive acts because he attempted to minimize or manipulate the damage to the Property and then telling the Plaintiff that there was either no damage or the damage was minimal.
- 47. Camp's unfair practice, as described above, of misrepresenting to Plaintiff that the damage to the Property as a whole was not a result of wind, hail and/or rain is a material fact relating to the coverage at issue and constitutes an unfair method of competition or an unfair or deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance.
- 48. Camp's unfair practice, as described above, of misrepresenting to Plaintiff that the damage to the roof was not a result of winds, hail and/or rain is a material fact relating to the

overage at issue and constitutes an unfair method of competition or an unfair or deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance.

- 49. Camp's unfair settlement practices of deceptively refusing Plaintiff's claim by concluding the damage to Plaintiff's Property was not a result of wind, hail and/or rain, as described above, and failing to attempt in good faith to effectuate a prompt, fair, and equitable settlement of the claim with Plaintiff, even though Central Mutual's liability under the Policy was reasonably clear, constitutes an unfair method of competition and an unfair and deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance.
- 50. Additionally, Camp's conduct violated the Deceptive Trade Practices Act by engaging in false, misleading or deceptive acts and practices by deny Plaintiff's claim on the basis that the damage to the Property was either pre-existing damage and/or material failures. The storm that caused some of the damage were major weather events that severely damaged structures in the surrounding areas, but Camp employed an outcome oriented investigation for the sole purpose of denying Plaintiff's claim.
  - 51. Camp' conduct was in or affecting commerce.
- 52. Each of the acts described above, together and singularly, constitutes a deceptive trade practice in violation.
- 53. Plaintiff was a "consumer" in that Plaintiff purchased the policy by and the insurance contract forms the basis of this action. Camp's conduct constitutes an unfair or deceptive trade practice in the following ways:
  - a. Camp misrepresented to the claimant-insured a material fact or policy provision relating to coverage at issue;
  - b. Camp failed to attempt in good faith to effectuate a prompt, fair and equitable settlement of the claim liability became reasonably clear;

- c. Camp refused to pay the claim without a reasonable investigation on its part with respect to the claim.
- 54. Plaintiff has been damaged as a result of Camp's deceptive trade practices in an amount equal to the costs of repairs and attorneys fees. Plaintiff also seeks treble damages from Camp.

#### E. NEGLIGENCE (Against Camp)

- 55. Paragraphs above are incorporated by reference.
- 56. Camp conduct of inspecting the Property and failing to identifying serious and substantial damage to Plaintiff's roof, walls and floors which has resulted in severe, substantial and prolonged damage was negligent.
- 57. Camp engaged in negligent and misleading conduct with regard to Plaintiff regarding his actions of damaging Plaintiff's Property by refusing to recognize or acknowledge water and storm damage and authorize or endorse necessary repairs.
- 58. Plaintiff has been damaged as a result of Camp's negligence in damaging the Property and failing and refusing to consent to or endorse the necessary repairs.

# F. BREACH OF DUTY OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING (Against Central Mutual)

59. Defendant Central Mutual breached the common law duty of good faith and fair dealing owed to Plaintiff by denying or delaying payment on the claims when Defendants Wellington and Central Mutual knew or should have known that liability was reasonably clear. Defendant Central Mutual's conduct proximately caused Plaintiff's damages.

#### G. ATTORNEYS' FEES

60. Plaintiff engaged the undersigned attorney to prosecute this lawsuit against

Defendants and agreed to pay reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses through trial and any appeal. Plaintiff is entitled to reasonable and necessary attorneys' fees pursuant to Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code §§ 38.001-38.003 because she is represented by an attorney, presented the claim to Defendants and Defendants did not tender the just amount owed before the expiration of the 30<sup>th</sup> day after the claim was presented. Plaintiff is additionally entitled to reasonable and necessary attorneys' fees pursuant to Tex. Bus. & Com Code § 17.50(d). and pursuant to sections 541,152 and 542,060 of the Texas Insurance Code.

#### VI. DAMAGES

- 61. Paragraphs above are incorporated by reference.
- 62. Plaintiff complains of Defendants and prays Defendants be cited to appear and answer and on the final trial of the merits, Plaintiff recovers from Defendants the following:
- 63. Plaintiff would show that all of the above mentioned acts, taken together or singularly, constitute the proximate of damages sustained by Plaintiff.
- 64. For breach of contract, Plaintiff is entitled to regain the benefit of its bargain, which is the amount of the claim, together with attorney's fees.
- 65. Plaintiff is entitled to actual damages, which includes the loss of the benefits that should have been paid pursuant to the policy, including, but not limited to, direct and indirect consequential damages, loss of income, mental anguish, court cost and attorney's fees.
- 66. Plaintiff also seeks treble damages for Defendants' unfair method or unfair or deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance.

### VII. NOTICE OF INTENT TO USE DISCOVERY AT TRIAL

67. Pursuant to Texas Rules of Civil Procedure 193.7, Plaintiff hereby gives notices

that Plaintiff intends to use all discovery instruments produced in this case at trial. Such discovery instruments include, but are not limited to, all documents Defendants have or will produce in response to Plaintiff's written discovery requests.

### VIII. REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

- 68. Plaintiff serves these requests for production pursuant to Rule 196 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure and makes demand upon Defendants to each respond on the 50<sup>th</sup> day after service of Plaintiff's petition with production of responsive materials at the offices of the undersigned attorney for Plaintiff.
  - a. Documents evidencing any and all evaluations, estimates, adjustment and/or damage analysis of the Property;
  - b. Documents evidencing all contracts with Plaintiff;
  - c. Documents evidencing each of Defendants principal place of business in Texas;
  - d. Documents evidencing any and all photographs of the Property;
  - e. Documents evidencing any and all communications with Plaintiff, their agents and/or others regarding the Property;
  - f. Documents evidencing any and all insurance Policy, renewals, site inspections or valuations for the Property;
  - g. Documents evidencing any and all premiums charged and/or collected by you from Plaintiff relating to the Property;
  - h. Documents evidencing any and all claims made relating to the Property and/or denials of any such claims;
  - i. Documents evidencing any and all policy applications made for the Property by Plaintiff including but not limited to your evaluations or assessments as to the condition of the Property.
  - j. Documents evidencing any and all how the amount of the insurance policy for the Property was derived.

- k. Documents evidencing any and all studies, reports, investigation, analysis or records concerning weather events prepared by you or for you regarding any weather conditions that may have caused damage to the Property;
- 1. Documents evidencing any and all playments made by you to Plaintiff or for repairs made to the Property; and
- m. Documents evidencing any and all repairs made to the Property by you, at your direction, or for which you paid or made reimbursement.
- 69. Plaintiff serves these requests for interrogatories pursuant to Rule 197 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure and makes demand upon Defendants to each respond on the 50<sup>th</sup> day after service of Plaintiff's petition with answers at the offices of the undersigned attorney for Plaintiff.
  - a. Identify the name, address, and role in the claim made the basis of this lawsuit, if any, for all persons providing information for the answers to these interrogatories;
  - b. Identify all persons and/or entities who handled the claim made the basis of this lawsuit on behalf of Defendant(s);
  - c. Do Defendant(s) contend that Plaintiff failed to provide proper notice of the claim made the basis of this lawsuit under either the policy or the Texas Insurance Code, and, if so, describe how the notices was deficient, and identify any resulting prejudice cause to Defendant(s);
  - d. Do Defendant(s) claim that Plaintiff failed to mitigate his or her damages? If so, describe how Plaintiff failed to do so, identify any resulting prejudice cause to Defendant(s);
  - e. Do Defendant(s) contend that Plaint iff failed to provide Defendant(s) with requested documents and/or information? If so, identify all requests to which Plaintiff(s) did not respond and state whether you denied any portion of the claim based on Plaintiff's failure to respond.
  - f. At the time the claim made the basis of this lawsuit was investigated and the Property inspected (and prior to anticipation of litigation), describe all damage attributable to the storm observed at the Property by Defendant(s) or any persons or entities on behalf of Defendant(s);
  - g. Identify all exclusions under the Policy applied to the claim made the basis

of this lawsuit, and for each exclusion identified, state the reason(s) that Defendant(s) relied upon to apply that exclusion;

- h. Describe how Defendant(s) determined whether overhead and profit ("O&P") should be applied to the claim made the basis of this lawsuit, identifying the criteria for that determination; and
- i. To the extend Defendant(s) utilized an estimating software program and modified the manufacturer's settings with respect to Plaintiff's claim, identify those modifications;

#### IX. <u>JURY DEMAND</u>

Plaintiff demands a jury trial and has tendered the appropriate fee.

#### X. PRAYER

For these reasons, Plaintiff asks that Defendants be cited to appear and answer and that Plaintiff have judgment against Defendants for actual damages, costs, pre and post judgment interest, attorneys fees, treble damages and for all other relief to which Plaintiff is entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

#### LUBEL VOYLES LLP

By: /s/ Adam Q. Voyles

Adam Q. Voyles Lubel Voyles LLP

Texas \$tate Bar No.: 24003121

5020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800

Houston, Texas 77006

Telephone No.: (713) 284-5200 Facsimile No.: (713) 284-5250 Email: adam@lubelvoyles.com

#### ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

## Case 1:16-cv-00787-LY Document 1 Filed 06/26/16 Page 21 of 24 Civil Case information Sherit (by 1...)

Cause Number (for clear use only) (D-1-GN-16-002271 Co				URTEFO	Ŗ ĊĿĔŖĸIJŖŖŎŊĿĿ	198th
STYLED Jeremy and Chelsey Ellison v. Central Mutual Insurance Co				mpany a	and Aaron Cam	р
o A civil.cnsq-lotomation sleet in health-ease at when a past-fidelin	apaeni perisanA IIA, valimensa persentual da voingeled alla supplication of residenti da voltotioni tot modification or modification	e Co; la p in an side tion for e	e Mory Ann lören: In the l ginal pedition or applic Allorcement is filed in	dance of the plonais life a fainly la	Esiàne of George Isek d to Initipité à new ( w cose: The intoine	con) zivili family law, probatcy or mental ation should be the best available ar
the time of filing.	n completing case information sh		Nande X Brandisch		53.7.0.18% b.r.	son or entity completing the class
		rmare.			) (D) A (to	otney for Plaintill Petitioner
Name:	Eppil:		Plaintitt(s)/Petitioner	(5):		Se Pláinini Petitionier o JV D Agency en
Adam Q. Voyles	adam@lubelvoyles.com	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	Jeremy Ellison	<del></del>		èri
- र्क्स्पूर्ग (देवर)	Telephone:		Chelsey Ellison	ļ	سمعینیط استخدادی کارتیان	onal Parties in Ghild Support Case:
5020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800	(713) 284-5200					" '
City/State/Zip:	Fax:		Defendant(s)/Respon	1		lial Parents
Houslon, Texas 77006	(713) 284-5250		Central Mutual Ins	orance Co		ustodla! Pärent;
Signature: 11 z . 2	'State Bar No:	T	, Aaron Camp	<b></b>		
Signature: When Q Voyles	24003121		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	<b></b>	Presun	ned Eather.
		<del>~~;```</del>	ર્પળ જરૂંવા દિવલાદીએક તેમાંગુર્તો.			
2: Indicate case type, or identify.	the niose important issue in the ca	કર્યા હતા છે.	tonly I) s			amily Law
WRANG MARKE		会能力	的数据规划		Vasavasari	Fort-judgment Actions 4
GebVContract	Injury or Damage:			DAn	lage Relation thip.	Enforcement
☐ÇonsumenDTPA ☐DebeContract	Construction Defamation	Co. □Pat	ndenination	Díon Díon	bio Vegarna Monaf	Modification—Custody  Modification—Other
Fraud/Misrepresentation	Malpracile	.F∏Òu	iet iiilo.	ינים וו	Kith Children	ndervide.
Other Debucontage	☐ Cegat ☐ Veconnyua	Hou	spass to Try Tille	البا ال	yo Çhildren	☐Entorement/Madification ☐Paternity
L'oreclasure  Home Equity—Espedited	Medical Other Professional,		<del></del>	<u> </u>		□Regiptocale (UIFSA). □Support Order
Gither Forcelosure	Olivet Profestional,	A CONTRACTOR	elated to Criminally?	121.30	STATE OF THE	# 200 GARAGASA (A.C.)
[ [Ifnsurance	Motor Vehiolg Accident	Bay C	Matters	多线OH Eh	hen Family Eaws loice Foreign	Parent Child Relationship   [Alophion/Adoption with
☐LandlonUTeñant. ☐Non-Compeniton ☐Partnership	Dependers Product Liability	4 Jud	ement Nisi	) (u	iginent	"Termination"
Pårtnerskitg Dothen Contract:	∐AshesidaSiliea □Other Product Liability	∐i5¢i	n-Disclosure zúry Forfeiture		beas Corpus ine Change:	Child Support
	Figi Producte	Pie	it of Haireas Corpus— Sindiciment	UP/€	oeas Corpus hie Change nective Order- nective Order- Minority	s Gestational Patenting
	Other Injury or Damages	ÜOŋ	wr.	□ Où	Minonity here	S Gésfational Patenting Grandristent Access Parentage Patently Grandriston of Patentil Rights
	**************************************	ير ول وحد	destroyed to be			Terminolion of Parental
Emplőyment 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	☐Administrative Appeal'	STAIL ST	wyer Discipline			Difter Parent-Child:
Retaliation	[]Antitrust Unfair	TiPer	petpate Testiniony prilite/Stock	ŀ		7
☐Termination ☐Workers' Compensation	Goingelliton	[J.76]	niona futerificace			
Other Employment:	Foreign düligment	[]Oil	ter:			
TARREST ENGLANCES	Anne man and a second s	ببشبشتې	Probate & A	1. 1. 1. 17.	ng kist in the street of the construction of the street of	aring haying agraphic and resempted to the confedent and
Tax Approisal	Probate/Wills/Intestate Administ	cation.		Guardiar	ship,Adult	<del>ای به و پوسته به بیچه تخر بید در ههمون بیچه در در میطنداند و در</del>
Tax Dellaquency	Deplindent Administration Independent Administration	•	[ [	∏Guardior ∐Mentai F	shlpMinor Idalih	
Other Tax	Other Estate Proceedings	',		Others_	<del></del>	<del></del>
ี้ส.วิกัสโรงโล หา้าเล่นก็จากกล่ายกล้าใน	jľapplicabie (may selece more tha	saide de		100	ter de la constante de la cons	
Appeal from Municipal or Just	ica Cond Declara	lory Judg	uncht-	,	Prejudgment J	tehipdy lor
Attachment	Ogaetishmeot				Seguestration	
Bill of Review	Disense.				Temporary Re	รได้กักก็ชู้:Order/InJunction
1 <del>                                    </del>	# Elpost-judgment			260/54	[Tumqver	[32] H. T. S.
Grass Action  4: Indicate damnages sought (do no) select if it is family how casely a selection of the control			rsh undatt	omby fees	<del>, 25, 15, 15, 15, 15, 15, 15, 15, 15, 15, 1</del>	
Dies inau 2100'000 and tou-woncast telet						
Over \$200,000 but not more th	da 51,000,000	3.1.	and the same of		a an a Sata at Antara	<u> </u>
A Thing and I have a straight the second second	and the second s	بيأده موجرة فطبند	والمساحة والمستون والم والمستون والمستون والمستون والمستون والمستون والمستون والمستو			



#### **VELVA L. PRICE**

District Clerk, Travis County Civil Division (512) 854-9457

## SERVICE REQUEST FORM

47****************************			
FEOURSTEO SY			
ATTORNEY/FILER: Adam Q. Voyles	VITTED BY: SERAZ B	roussard	
PHONE #: 213-284-5200		TITLE: Patier	Mr. Care. O
EMAIL: seina@ubehoylek.com		GNATURE:	Valena a Vojela
SSUEPROCESS FOR STANDARD STAND			
CAUSE #: D-1-GN-16-002271 CASE STYLE:	Jeremy and Chelsey El	ison v. Central M	futual Insurance Company and Aaron Camp
QUICK CITATION REQUEST: (FOR SERVICE OF CITATION ON IA	A VICE A SHARE A SHARE	1. 15 (2. 15 (15 A) (15 A) (15 A) (15 A)	and the transfer of the second of the section of the second of the secon
ISSUE CITATION TO ALL DEFENDANTS LISTED IN THE ORIGINAL PETITION AT THE		Post Contract	DUAND THE CITATIONIS! TO THE FOLLOWING:
ISSUE CITATION TO ALL DEFENDANTS LISTED IN THE ORIGINAL PETITION AT THE	ADDRESS SPECIFIED IN THE	remon Aib roa	THE CHANGE OF THE COLUMN TO TH
	RTIFIED MAIL BY CLERK	1	
+ - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1	REQUEST (e.g. DISCOVERY) TO INCLUDE
Language paragraph of the control of			
DETAILED SERVICE REQUEST JON PARTICULATER PARTIES. 915	/ARIQUS DELIVERY IV	PHODS OF SP	RNON:GHAHONES
DESRIPTION OF INSTRUMENT(S) TO BE SERVED: Plaintiff's Orig	inal Petition and	Initial Disco	overy Requests
FI HAVE INCLUDED ATTACHMENTS TO THIS REQUEST (e.g. discovery)	O INCLUDE IN THE CITA	NOIT	
TYPE OF PROCESS TO ECITATION CERTIFIED NOTICE CPR	OTECTIVE ORDER* TT	BO*^ □INJUNCT	TION*A DSEQUESTRATION*A
ISSUE:   DATTACHMENT* LIEXECUTION* LIA  *SPECIFY TITLE AND DATE OF UNDERLYING ORDER IN CASE RECORD:	A A	ATTACH A COPY C	OF BOND AND/OR OTHER SUPPORTING
	1 -	CUMENT	
		1	
I SERVICE TO BEISSDED	and a state of the	CHARLES CONTRACTOR	
PARTY NAME: Central Mutual Insurance Company	EMAIL PROCESS TO:	1	ERVE VIA:
	☐TRAVIS CO. CONST		PERSONAL SERVICE
PARTY TYPE: Defendant	■ATTORNEY/REQUE	1 1 1 1	]CERTIFIED MAIL (BY CONSTABLE) ]CERTIFIED MAIL (BY CLERK)
	Process Agency Nami	e\$   C	CITATION BY POSTING*
LIOTHER ADDRESS:			CITATION BY PUBLICATION*
PARTY NAME: Aaron Camp	EMAIL PROCESS TO:	1 .	ERVE VIA:
PARTY TYPE: Defendant	MATTORNEY/REQUE	· T - 1 -	CERTIFIED MAIL (BY CONSTABLE)
	☐PRIVATE PROCESS	T	OCERTIFIED MAIL (BY CLERK)
BUSE ADDRESS IN ORIGINAL PETITION DISECRETARY OF STATE	Process Agency Nam	1 1	DCITATION BY POSTING* DCITATION BY PUBLICATION*
OTHER ADDRESS:	EMAIL PROCESS TO:		ERVE VIA:
PARTY NAME:	☐TRAVIS CO. CONST		PERSONAL SERVICE
PARTY TYPE:	□ATTORNEY/REQUE	1 1 -	CERTIFIED MAIL (BY CONSTABLE)
USE ADDRESS IN ORIGINAL PETITION DESCRETARY OF STATE	PRIVATE PROCESS Process Agency Nam		]CERTIFIED MAIL (BY CLERK) ]CITATION BY POSTING*
DOTHER ADDRESS:	Frocess Mency Hom		CITATION BY PUBLICATION*
NYTHISTOVEE OF SERVICE MAY REQUIRE A COURT ORDER FAITER DATE OF	SERVIÇBORDER'IN GAS	ELECORO E SE	######################################
ADDITIONAL INSTRUCTIONS FOR CLERK OR FOR OFFICER SERVING PROCE	SS:		
A Company of the Comp			
FOR ADDITIONAL PARTIES TO BE SERVED JUST 1	VEILED PROCESSIS	SUANCE REO	UESTFORM ADDENDUM
March 1995 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Danking strain	at the second section of the	Carrier and a series of the se

#### Case 1:16-cv-00787-LY Document 1 Filed 06/26/16 Page 23 of 24 CITATION STATE OF $M \wedge 9$ CAUSE NO. D-1-GN-16-002271 PROFESSIONAL CIVIL PROCESS INITIALS: P LIC# JEREMY ND CHELSEY ELLISON , Plaintiff CENTRAL MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY AND AARON CAMP , Defendant AA(ON CAMP GETRY & ASSOCIATES CLAIMS SERVICES, INC. 10:00 TOLLESBORO COVE AU:TIN, TEXAS 78739-2202 Defendant, in the above styled and numbered cause: YOU HAV! BEEN SUED. You may employ an attorney. If you or your attorney do not file a written answer with the clerk who issued this citation by 10:00 A.M. on the Monday next following the expiration of twenty days after you were served this citation and petition, a default judgment may be taker against you. Attached is a copy of the PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION AND INITIAL DISCOVERY REQUESTS of the PLAINTIF in the above styled and numbered cause, which was filed on MAY 24, 2016 in the 98TH JUDICIAI DISTRICT COURT of Travis County, Austin, Texas.

ISSUED AND GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL of said Court at office, May 31, 2016.

REQUESTED BY: ADAM QUENTIN VOYLES 5020 MONTROSE BLVD, SUIT 800 HOUSTON, TX 77006-6533 BUSINESS PHONE: (713) 284-5200 FAX: (713) 284-5250

VS

то:

Velva L. Price Travis County District Clerk Trevis County Courthouse

1000 Guadalupe, P.O. Box 679003 (78767) Austin, TX 78701

PREPARED BY: CHAMBERS VICTORIA

R	ETURN
Came to hand on the day of	ato'clockM., and
executed at	
on the day of	,, ato'clockM.,
by delivering to the within named	, each
in person, a true copy of this citation togethe	er with the PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION AND INITIAL
DISCOVERY REQUESTS accompanying pleading, having	ng first attached such copy of such citation to such
copy of pleading and endorsed on such copy of	citation the date of delivery.
Service Fee: \$	Sheriff / Constable / Authorized Person
Sworn to and subscribed before me this the	
	Ву:
day of,	
	Printed Name of Server
	i
	County, Texas
Notary Public, THE STATE OF TEXAS	
n 4 my 16 000001	SERVICE FER NOT PAID P01 - 000040779
D-1-GN-16-002271	SERVICE FEE NOT PAID P01 - 000040779
Original	

### Case 1:16-cv-00787-LY Document 1 Filed 06/26/16 Page 24 of 247 016 Received

CITATION

CAUSE NO. D-1-GN-16-002271

THE STATE OF TEXAS DELIVERED HIS 20, DAY OF JULIE BY S Rusport PROFESSIONAL CIVIL PROCESS

JEREMY AND CHELSEY ELLISON

, Plaintiff

vs.

Original

CENTRAL MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY AND AARON CAMP

. Defendant

TO: CENTRAL MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY BY SERVING ITS REGISTERED AGENT, STEVEN MANSFIELD 7301 STATE HWY 161, SUITE 320 IRVING, TEXAS 75039-2820

Defendant, in the above styled and numbered cause:

YOU HAVE BEEN SUED. You may employ an attorney. If you or your attorney do not file a written answer with the clerk who issued this citation by 10:00 A.M. on the Monday next following the expiration of twenty days after you were served this citation and petition, a default judgment may be taken against you.

Attached is a copy of the PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION AND INITIAL DISCOVERY REQUESTS of the PLAINTIFF in the above styled and numbered cause, which was filed on MAY 24, 2016 in the 98TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT of Travis County, Austin, Texas.

ISSUED AND GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL of said Court at office, May 31, 2016.

REQUESTED BY: ADAM QUENTIN VOYLES 5020 MONTROSE BLVD, SUIT 800 HOUSTON, TX 77006-6533 BUSINESS PHONE: (713) 284-5200 FAX: (713) 284-5250

Service Copy

Velva L. Price Travis County District Clerk Travis County Courthouse

1000 Guadalupe, P.O. Box 679003 (78767) Austin, TX 78701

PREPARED BY: CHAMBERS VICTORIA

	ETURN o'clock M., and
executed at	
	,, at o'clockM.,
	, each
in person, a true copy of this citation togeth	ner with the PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION AND INITIAL
DISCOVERY REQUESTS accompanying pleading, havi	ing first attached such copy of such citation to such
copy of pleading and endorsed on such copy of	citation the date of delivery.
Service Fee: \$	Sheriff / Constable / Authorized Person
Sworn to and subscribed before me this the	
	Ву:
day of,	
	Printed Name of Server
	County, Texas
Notary Public, THE STATE OF TEXAS	
D-1-GN-16-002271	SERVICE FEE NOT PAID P01 - 000040778